	İ	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BARRY J. PORTMAN Federal Public Defender JOSH COHEN Assistant Federal Public Defender 19th Floor Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 436-7700 Counsel for Defendant INIGUEZ	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR-07-0278 EDL
12	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER CONTINUING STATUS) CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)
13	v.	
14	DAVID INIGUEZ,	
15	Defendant.	
16		_)
17	Having made his initial and one subsequent appearance on an information charging him	
18	with theft of government property, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641, defendant David Iniguez is	
19	scheduled to appear before this Court for trial setting on July 24, 2007.	
20	Mr. Iniguez is scheduled to undergo oral surgery on July 23, 2007 and has been advised	
21	to stay home to recover for the following few days. Accordingly, the parties agree and stipulate	
22	to a one-week continuance of the matter to July 31, 2007.	
23	The parties further agree and stipulate that the time between July 24, 2007 and July 31,	
24	2007 should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act for effective preparation of counsel. The	
25	parties are engaged in mutual exchange of discovery and investigations are underway to	
26	///	
	CR 07-0278 EDL; STIP. TO CONTINUE & EXCLUDE TIME	1

Case 3:07-cr-00278-EDL Document 16 Filed 07/23/07 Page 2 of 2

1 determine whether the case is amenable to pretrial resolution. 2 IT SO STIPULATED. 3 BARRY J. PORTMAN Federal Public Defender 4 5 Dated: 07/20/2007 JOSH COHEN Assistant Federal Public Defender 6 7 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS **United States Attorney** 8 9 Dated: 07/20/2007 $/_{\rm S}/$ WENDY THOMAS 10 Assistant United States Attorney 11 **ORDER** 12 13 Accordingly, and for good cause shown, the trial-setting conference presently scheduled 14 for July 24, 2007 is hereby continued to July 31, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. The time from July 24, 2007 through July 31, 2007 shall be excluded under the Speedy 15 Trial Act. The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the 16 defendant effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court 17 18 further finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the 19 best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of 20 criminal cases. See id. § 3161(h)(8)(A). 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 23, 2007 22 IS SO ORDEREI 23 UNITE 24 Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte 25 26 CR 07-0278 EDL; STIP. TO CONTINUE & 2

EXCLUDE TIME